





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				**
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,180	09/18/2000	Robert Ellis Chapman JR.	YOR920000633US1	5786
7:	590 03/03/2004		EXAMI	NER
Louis J Percello			NGUYEN, HUY D	
Intellectual Pro	perty LAw Dept			
IBM Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 218			2681	a
Yorktown Heig	thts, NY 10598		DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	, 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
مو. م	09/664,180	CHAPMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huy D Nguyen	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiate of the period for reply specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply within	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON lill, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>22 December</u> 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practic	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	. ,					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,6,7 and 9 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	is/are withdrawn from consideration	n.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar he correction is required if the drawing.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	TO/SB/08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·				

Application/Control Number: 09/664,180

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 3-4, and 8, drawn to call conferencing, classified in class 455, subclass416.
- II. Claims 2, 6-7, and 9, drawn to call privacy, classified in class 455, subclass 411.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Because they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. During a telephone conversation with Robert J. Mauri on 02/12/2004 a provisional election was made to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1, 3-4, 8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 2, 6-7, 9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/664,180

Art Unit: 2681

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 3-4, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bradshaw, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 6,608,820).

Regarding claims 1, 3-4, and 8, Davison et al. disclose a method and apparatus for controlling multi-party conference calls includes circuitry which is operable according to a new conference call protocol. Figure 1 shows a system diagram of a wireless communication network illustrating one aspect of the present invention. A controlling party (Cg Pty) is in communication with a first subject party (CP1), a second subject party (CP2) and a third subject party (CP3). The controlling party is communicating through mobile station 102 while the first, the second and the third subject parties are communicating through mobile stations 104, 106 and 108, respectively. The controlling party and the three subject parties are in a four-way conference call. As seen in FIG. 1, a plurality of virtual communication paths 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 illustrates that each mobile station is in communication with the other mobile station in a conference call [col. 3, lines 49-62]. In cellular conference call, it is inherent that the requesting subscriber sends along with the request for call conferencing an identification (e.g. MIN) of the requesting subscriber and ID of the talkgroup (see U.S. 6,308,079 – col. 4, lines 40-54).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2681

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lott

SINH TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER